(9-P)

G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

B may 08 Sec. 6 S.R 92-05
B June 08 Sec 1-6 Do 52 02
B Aug 11 Sec 1-2 Do 110-10

1902.

(S.R)

File No. 214-12

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

S.R 4-13 Sec 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for June 13

SUBJECT.

Claim of the Inamdar of Hurnjala Village Gudlupet Taluk to the Excise revenue thereof.

---

1. From the Excise Commr in Mysore
No 7130 Dy 17.3.13
Referring to Gov. no R6037-9 / SRG 92-05-6 Dy 16-5-08 allowing the claim "in full to the present holder of the village & one half to his successor in title" soliciting instructions as to whether Mr. Balkrishi Basappaji Urs who succeeded him on 16-11-07 should be regarded as the present holder for the purpose of the said order.

2. To the Excise Commr No R7364 Dy 23-6-13
Informing him, in reply this reference in Question, that Mr Channappaji Urs who was the Inamdar on the date of Gov. no R6037-9 / SRG 92-05-6 should be considered as "the present holder" under that order.

GPB ..8,000—7-4-07.

G.R.S. 11] 1912.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S.R.)

NOTES.

File No. 214-12

FILE HEADING.

Claim of the Inamdar of Huriyala village, Gundlupet Tq to the Excise revenue thereof.

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore -

No 7130 Dated 17/19 · 3 · 13 Received 20 · 3 · 13

Diary No. 3654	Enclos. 1 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

§ Referring Gly O no 7606037-9 S.R 92-05-6 dy 16.5.08 allowing the claim in full to the present holder of the village & one half to his successor in title, solicits instructions as to whether Mr Bakshi Basappaji Urs who was the Inamdar at the time of the investigation, & his son Mr Chamappaji Urs who succeeded him on 16.11.07, should be regarded as the 'present holder' for the purpose of the said order.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Mr Chamappaji Urs was the holder of

§ the village on the date of the G.O. viz 16.5.1908, and

§ (S.R 92-05-6) was alive upto 7th February 1912.

Please see Encls to the paper under Disp

2 The D.C. Mysore regards Mr Chamappaji Urs as the 'present holder' for the purpose of the G.O., so that

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6-12

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S.R File No. 214 of 1912

Serial No.

according to him the toddy revenue of the village goes in full to Mr. Chamappaji Urs up to 7th February 1913, and thereafter to the extent of one-half to his son.

3 But as Mr. Basappaji Urs was the holder at the time of the investigation of the claim and the admission of the records to Govt, the Excise Com. solicits instructions as to who should be taken as the 'present holder' under the G.O. of 1908.

4 It will be seen from page 26 of the notes in S.R. 92-05 that Mr. Basappaji Urs' death had come to the knowledge of Govt when the order of 16-5-08 was passed, and that the intention of the order was to consider Mr Basappaji's son as the 'present holder', please see para 2 of the then First Councillor's note dy 21-4-1908.

5 The same question was raised and discussed in S.R 52-02 relating to the Addabur toddy claim, with the result that 'the present holder' was regarded as the one who was the proprietor at the time of the Govt order allowing the claim. Please see pages 20 to 22 in that file and para 5 of G.O no 7E-6461-3-S.R 52-02 dy 11-6-08.

6 The same view has been clearly expressed in para 5 of G.O no 7E 500-1-S.R 110-10-2 dy 3-8-11 relating to the refund bill of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

C R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SR File No. 214 of 1912

Serial No.

Hyaddanur village, and it has been directed in ... para 6 of that order that in submitting refund bills in respect of such villages the name of the proprietor on the date of the order and on the last date of each year for which refund is claimed should invariably be given for the information of Government.

Nothing Satisfactory. Not in character Roll. Dues S 9/6

7. Thus there seems to be no doubt that in the case in question the 'present holder' for the purpose of the G.O. of 16.5.08 is Mr Chamappaji Urs and not Mr Basappaji Urs.

Entered in Character Roll + file returned to branch 7/6 5/7

Submitted for orders.

ASR 1/4 28/9

8 Even if there are no precedents, the note of the late Dewan (then 1st Cr) dated 21-4-08 "that the order would favour his son 'the present holder of the village' + his successor in title", settles the point now at issue. It shows that Govt intended that Mr Chennappaji Urs was to be considered as the 'present holder' under the order

9. Please put up draft accdg

SSR 4/6

Draft submitted

ASR 1/4 6/6

Dy Secy 7/6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 214 - of 1912

Serial No.	
	The draft answering the point raised by the Excise Comm^r may be approved. Draftsman 9/6 2nd Counsellor)
	Draft approved Secy 12/6/13 12/6 Secy 15/6
ab-2B	Issue. SDR 17/6
2	No. The Excise Commissioner in Mysore No. H. 7364 dated 23rd June 1913 Informs him, in reply to his reference in question, that Mr. Channappaji Urs who was the Inamdar on the date of G. O. No. H. 6037-9 (viz., 16. 5. 1908) should be considered as "the present holder" under that order.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

No. 7130
Rev-1

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, dated 17th March 1913.

FROM

DIARY No. 3654

M. Kantaraj Urs, Esq., B.A.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

10/2-1013
SECTION SR
FILE No. 214
SERIAL No. 1

K. R. Srinivasiengar, Esq., M.A.,
Offg: *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to para 3 of the Government Order No. Fl.6037-9/S,R, 92-05-6, dated the 16th May 1908, passing final orders on the claim of the Inamdar of Huriyala village, Gundlupet Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Inamdar Bakshi Mr. Bassappaji Urs referred to in the records of investigation died on the 16th November 1907, vide copy of report No. 506, dated 1st March 1913 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. But in the first refund bill pertaining to the - - Inamdar's claim for the years 1892-3 to 1909-10 now received, the Deputy Commissioner has construed the son of the Inamdar as the holder on the ground of his being alive on the date of the Government order. But as Mr. Bassappaji Urs was the holder at the time of the investiga--tion and submission of the records of the claim to Government in 1906, I request that instructions may be issued as to who the party referred to as "present holder" in the Government order of 1908 is.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Excise Commissioner.

19 .

FROM

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

GPB-1,500—25-11-12

Encl to SR 214-12 (2)

Docket No. 506, dated 1st March 1913, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

His docket No. 8290, dated 11/13th April 1912, requesting the particulars called for in paras 5 and 6 of the Government Order No. Fl. 500-1/S.R.110-10-2, dated 3rd August 1911, may be furnished etc.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that the Amildar of Gundlupet Taluk in his Ittila No. 107, dated 16th February 1913, reports that the Amildar of Mysore Taluk has certified that Mr. Chamappaji Urs son of late Mr. Bhakshi Bassappaji Urs who is known to be in possession of the village, was alive on the date of Government Proceedings, Viz, 16th May 1908 and was alive up to 7th February 1913 (vide copy of life certificate on the bill).

2. Bhakshi Mr. Bassappaji Urs died on 16th November 1907 as per extract from the Register of deaths in the Mysore City furnished by the Health Officer and enclosed herein.

"Copy"

for Excise Commissioner.

1½ 1916

Re the Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Hurisala village, Gundlupet Tk.

(5)

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 214-12

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 3654

No. H 7364

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Sep Rev.)
, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 23-6-1913

Signed by W Rajagopalachar

Paper under disposal.

To

23 June/13

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 7130 dated 17th March 1913, soliciting instructions as to whether Mr Bakshi Basappaji Urs or his son Mr Chamappaji Urs should be regarded as the 'present holder' of Hurisala village, Gundlupet Taluk, for the purpose of Cg* O no H 6037-9 S R 92-05-6 d 16th May 1908, allowing the Inamdar's claim to the toddy

over.

S

SUBJECT.

revenue of the village in full to the present holder of the village and one-half to his successor in title I am directed to state that Mr Chamappaji Urs who was the Inamdar on the date of the G.O. referred to should be considered as the 'present holder' under that order.

12/6/13

I have &c
Sd/-
for Secy to Govt. Revn
9/6

9/6

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.